

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,641	ENEVOLDSEN, PER A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ruth C. Rodriguez, PTO.

(3) _____.

 (2) Dan Cleveland, applicant's representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
102 rejection
Claims discussed:
12, 16 and 29
Prior art documents discussed:
Of record.
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Cleveland to propose an Examiner's amendment for claims 12,16 and 29. Mr. Cleveland studied the proposal and proposed additional changes in accordance with the enclosed Examiner's amendment. Both parties agreed to make the changes as an Examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..